

Exhibit G

**Public Correspondence received as of
July 19, 2012**

David Budeau

From: Richard Procsal [rap@oregonwireless.net]
Sent: Sunday, October 02, 2011 2:46 PM
To: david.a.budeau@state.or.us
Subject: Pheasant opener

Mr. Budeau. I look forward to Fall hunting season with the exception of the duck opener at Ladd Marsh. The main waterfall area (Tule Lake) off of Peach road also contains a fair pheasant population. Next Saturday there will be pheasant hunters and duck hunters in very close proximity. A group of blaze orange pheasant hunters walking around while duck hunters are trying to call in ducks is not a satisfactory experience for either group. In addition, this sets up a dangerous situation for verbal confrontations as well as low shooting of pheasant towards hidden duck hunters. If you could witness this mess on Saturday, I believe there would be a change. I am a duck and pheasant hunter and do not hunt this area on the first day of the season. I understand the wish to provide more hunting opportunity, and the duck and pheasant opener on the same day may work well in other areas, but not Tule Lake. One change could be made to the opening dates without any increase or reduction of the waterfowl seasons. Duck hunting could be opened on 10/15 (same as goose) and end on 1/31/2012 (same as goose). No other changes in mid-season closures, or openers would be required. The desire to give the hunters more opportunities has also created the "perfect storm" for discontent on the opening at Ladd Marsh- especially Tule Lake. If the duck opener would start the same day as goose season, I believe the pheasant hunters would have a safer and more enjoyable opener and the duck hunters would probably have fewer pheasant hunters at Tule Lake a week later. This would also have duck and goose season start and end on the same day. I think that some people shoot at geese on the duck opener (no excuse for this) but having duck and goose open on the same day makes sense for the hunters and enforcement. I'm afraid that someone will get hurt at Tule Lake on 10/8. We all want safe hunting conditions, but opening pheasant and duck hunting at Ladd Marsh on the same day puts too many hunters, with different agendas (duck vs. pheasant hunting in the same place), in my view, as a confrontational and dangerous place that I or my family will not hunt on 10/ 8/ 2011. Please feel free to share this with Brad Bales and Roy Elicker. Tule Lake is a fabulous waterfowl area, but not next Saturday. Thank you, Richard Procsal.

David Budeau

From: Paul Mansur [pmansur@gorge.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 9:54 AM
To: david.a.budeau@state.or.us
Subject: Dove

David,

I've often wondered why Oregon doesn't have a late dove season like many of the other states. We see lots of doves around, even more now than we see in Sept. Also, we have the new Eurasian collared dove added to the list. Has anyone within your Dept ever looked into a late dove season?

Also, would be nice to have a 3 bird cock pheasant limit like most of the other states.

Grouse numbers seem to be low in Hood River County. My dog has slowed down so that may explain my reduced bag but I'm still not seeing many birds.

The early & extended pheasant hunting & extended grouse hunting seasons have added much enjoyment to my last few seasons. All my birdhunting friends like the extended seasons.

Hope all is well with you.

Paul Mansur
Hood River

Bradley Bales

From: ed tarbell [edhtarbell@yahoo.com]
Sent: Saturday, January 07, 2012 6:02 PM
To: brad bales
Subject: weekly goose closure days!

Hi Brad, In speaking with other hunters there is unanimous support of moving the Thursday-Friday goose non-shoot days to Monday-Tuesday. We think you would get more hunter participation than now. Many more hunters have the Time available to hunt a Thursday and especially a Friday than a Monday and Tuesday. If you don't agree with us would you consider alternating years for these days? In these times many hunters work a four day work schedule and have every Friday off! Ed

Roxann B Borisch

Subject: Public Comments from the Web

From: Terry Starr [<mailto:starrt@lanecc.edu>]

Sent: Friday, March 02, 2012 9:28 AM

To: odfw.comments@state.or.us

Subject: Public Comments from the Web

PUBLIC COMMENTS FROM THE WEB

Topic: Other

Name: Terry Starr

E-mail: starrt@lanecc.edu

Comment:

NW permit goose hunt check station for Lane, Linn and Benton counties should be more accessible, not stuck way down in the far corner of the unit. This creates a hardship for anyone hunting/living anywhere out in the valley.

My party will not participate in this hunt until all other seasons are closed and only partially then.

David Budeau

From: Taylor Monte L [monte.l.taylor@state.or.us]
Sent: Wednesday, March 14, 2012 2:32 PM
To: BUDEAU David A
Subject: hand guns acceptable for upland bird hunting

I suggest ODFW consider the use of hand guns capable of firing birdshot for use as upland game firearms. There are at least 2 types available; the Thompson center contender and the judge produced by Taurus. It would make sense when big game hunting to carry a handgun as a sidearm for this purpose.

Monte Taylor
167 N.E. Fern Ct. apt.3
Dallas, OR 97338

Roxann B Borisch

From: DONALD LAWSON [jdonn2@msn.com]
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2012 3:24 PM
To: bradley.d.bales@state.or.us
Subject: Technology and Waterfowl

Do you really think it would make a big impact if we were to allow electronics in our waterfowl hunting? I think not. Lets us pile the battery operated stuff in the boat and close Duck hunting on Friday.

Roxann B Borisch

From: willy6howard@aol.com
Sent: Wednesday, April 04, 2012 5:08 PM
To: bradley.d.bales@state.or.us

We do need electric deeks to be legalized and ice melters ect.

Sent from my U.S. Cellular® Android phone

Roxann B Borisch

From: Jeremy parker [jparker948@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, April 04, 2012 12:58 PM
To: bradley.d.bales@state.or.us
Subject: Waterfowl hunting

Don't legalize battery operated decoys for waterfowl hunting! It will make it too easy for anybody with a gun to hunt. Hunting waterfowl is about working a flock with a combination of calls and a well laid out spread! Allowing battery powered decoys will make hunting too easy!

Sincerely

Jeremy Parker

Roxann B Borisch

From: Lee Brock [brocksbigwater@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, April 09, 2012 3:21 PM
To: bradley.d.bales@state.or.us
Subject: Battery-Powered Decoys

Mr. Bales,

It is way past time Oregon steps into the 21st century and ALLOWS battery-powered decoys to enhance the waterfowl experience. Very few states still cling to traditional methods and technology offers innovations that will greatly improve hunter success, even if permitted only half the season. I have hunted California when battery-powered decoys were allowed and it greatly improved success by bringing birds in closer; thus improving the kill vs. wound ratio. Also, instead of stretching out the time needed for a bag limit, it allows a quick closure and the opportunity for other "waiting list" hunters the chance to go afield sooner. It has been proven that there is only a slightly larger percentage of birds harvested, thus not endangering long-term management goals for all species. In closing, I would hope Oregon becomes another state where reason overrules tradition.

Best regards,

T.L. Brock
(209) 410-2660
Ontario, OR. 97914

Roxann B Borisch

Subject: FW: 2012 Fall NW Goose Hunt Days

From: Edward Tarbell [<mailto:tarbelle@wcb.com>]

Sent: Tuesday, April 17, 2012 12:38 PM

To: ODFW Commission

Subject: 2012 Fall NW Goose Hunt Days

Dear Commission Members, In polling some of my friends who struggle to follow the complex rules for hunting geese in the Willamette we would ask the consideration and discussion take place at your August regulation meeting for a day change. The change would move the at least one of the Monday, Tuesday open days to Friday. Why Friday? Many people work a 4 day work week ending with Thursday. Many school age sons and daughter have no school on several Fridays during the waterfowl season. Some Holidays offer a 3 day weekend. Mid week day changes are good to benefit all hunters. I ask myself who picked Monday and Tuesday for shoot days at the beginning of the week rather than the end. It may have been the same person who allows the endangered Dusky goose to be hunted on the east side of I-5 and not the west side. Thank you for your consideration.

Edward H. Tarbell | Trust Business Development Officer | West Coast Trust | MS 128-2

1000 SW Broadway, Suite 1100, Portland, OR 97205 |

Office: 503-279-3152 | Internal: 5563152 | tarbelle@wcb.com | Fax: 503-224-9987 |

Asset Managers for Families, Business and Non-Profits

Roxann B Borisch

From: lars haggstrom [haggstrom2513@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, April 23, 2012 1:01 PM
To: bradley.d.bales@state.or.us
Subject: "battery powered decoys"

Hello Brad,

I truly believe that's its time to bring back the battery powered decoys. I was very surprised when the state stopped it the first time. I have hunted ducks and geese in Oregon since 1962 and have taken hundreds of birds in that time frame. When duck hunters were able to hunt with electronic devices I never thought that it made that much difference to the bag limit that I got. I really feel that the electronic devices only enhanced the spread of the decoys. The birds could see the decoys

from a long distance away and if they wanted to come to the spread that was their chose. Please reintroduce the battery powered decoys once again. Thank you.

Lars Haggstrom
1715 SE 160th AVE.
Portland, OR. 97233
503-255-2013

Roxann B Borisch

From: Stockman, Michael [michaelsto@CCFD1.com]
Sent: Saturday, May 05, 2012 5:13 PM
To: 'bradley.d.bales@state.or.us'
Subject: Waterfowling regulations

Mr. Bales, I am writing to you to share my thoughts regarding the state's re-evaluation for the use of battery operated decoys. This discussion occurred years ago when battery operated decoys first arrived in the backwaters and field in Oregon. I also shared my opinion then supporting a regulation against them. My position has not changed. I lived and hunted the majority of my water fowling years above McNary dam and became a very proficient water fowler. When these devices first arrived, I did try them out in the torpedo props that mounted under floating decoys to give the illusion of swimming birds. They were very successful when combined with proper location and proper calling techniques. As time went by, I began to see the spinning wing decoys arrive, which also were very successful. Unfortunately I also observed a tremendous decline in the calling abilities of those who chose to use these devices. I must confess that even today, I witness deplorable calling and shooting skills while hunting the Sauvie's area. Also in this period, I read a book, "Meditations On Hunting" by the Spanish philosopher Ortega Y Gasset (I'm certain it was required reading for you while in college) In this book, you will recall the authors expansion upon the use of technology in hunting and the unfair disadvantage to the animals we are blessed to chase in the fields. I am by no means, a sensitive man when it comes to the field and stream, I still relish the hope of filling the bag limit while fishing and hunting. But I continue to believe firmly that it is our duty and responsibility to maintain a sense of fairness in the term "fair chase". I believe we owe it our kids and they owe it to theirs, to learn the skills necessary to become competent participants in the outdoor world and not just flip a switch and start shooting. In today's world, instant gratification has become the expectation. Many will argue that allowing battery assisted hunting devices will "enhance" the hunting experience making hunters more successful more often. What I believe, is that it will cheapen the experience, making us reliant upon abilities we could never possess in the natural world. Thanks for your time, Mike Stockman

This email message, including all attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If this email has reached you in error, please contact the sender by return email and destroy all copies of the original message.

Roxann B Borisch

From: Evan alcantara [reeltime9@hotmail.com]
Sent: Sunday, May 06, 2012 6:37 PM
To: bradley.d.bales@state.or.us
Subject: Batter operated decoys

Hey Brad, I've read a lot about people wanting battery operated decoys legalized in the state.

I just want to be added to the list of people who DO NOT want battery operated decoys legalized in Oregon. I am for a nation wide ban.

Thanks,

Evan Alcantara.

PS, great job on the late season snipe opportunity.

Roxann B Borisch

Subject: Euro dove

From: David Krajczynski [<mailto:daveandmarna@yahoo.com>]

Sent: Tuesday, June 05, 2012 3:00 PM

To: rick.i.boatner@state.or.us

Subject: Euro dove

Rick,

Saw a post on Ifish about your quest to get the euro dove listed as invasive. I support the petition as I have watched 2 euro's become dozens in just 3 years here in Bend. I am not sure how disruptive they are to the native mourning dove around here but I do know that I have a better chance of seeing a euro around town than I do a mourning dove now-a-days.

Let me know if you need anything else.

Dave Krajczynski

Roxann B Borisch

Subject: FW: Eurasian dove

-----Original Message-----

From: Bob Falk [<mailto:mackinawbob@gmail.com>]

Sent: Tuesday, June 05, 2012 12:24 PM

To: rick.j.boatner@state.or.us

Subject: Eurasian dove

Hi

I own a farm outside of junction city Oregon.

I see the Eurasian doves.

I also still see the usual morning doves.

I don't see how the Eurasian is forcing the mourning dove from it's habitat.

At least the Eurasian dove is increasing in numbers.

I am also a hunter and would love to hunt the Eurasian.

Mourning dove populations have not been to great since the 1960s If the Eurasian has a chance to increase it's population, it should be allowed to do so.

Before any agency thinks they are going to decimate the eurasian population, they must be able to prove the eurasian is hurting the mourning dove population.

Let's hunt the eurasian, but limit the hunting to small bag limits.

These birds are beautiful and can provide sport and food for hunters for years if managed properly

We don't need a bunch of ya- hoos driving the country roads thinking they need to kill all of the doves.

Thank you

Bob falk

Sent from my iPhone

Roxann B Borisch

Subject: Eurasian Collared Doves

From: Mike Weis [mailto:m_weis88@yahoo.com]

Sent: Tuesday, June 05, 2012 9:36 AM

To: rick.j.boatner@state.or.us

Subject: Eurasian Collared Doves

Hi Rick,

My name is Mike Weis and I just wanted to inform you of the situation that I have with Eurasian Collared Doves.

I live just east of the city of Salem on small acreage. Up until three years ago I used to between 5 and 10 Morning Doves that I would see regularly from late spring until early fall. I enjoyed listening to and watching these birds. I cannot do that today because they no longer come around. Three years ago I noticed a different sounding larger dove type bird. After some research I determined that the new birds I was seeing were Eurasian Collared Doves. I thought this was cool at first, but quickly realized that these new birds were chasing out and competing with the Morning Doves.

Now three years later, I never see any Morning Doves in my area but plenty of Eurasian doves. I miss the the song of the Morning doves and cringe at the sound of the Eurasian dove.

I would love to see the Eurasian Collared Dove be listed as an invasive species in hopes that the population could be controlled outside of current dove hunting seasons and regulations. If you could please pursue this issue myself and many others would appreciate the effort.

Thank you,

Mike Weis

Roxann B Borisch

Subject: Eurasian Collard Doves

From: Andrew Lewis [mailto:andrewl97037@gmail.com]

Sent: Thursday, June 07, 2012 8:41 AM

To: rick.j.boatner@state.or.us

Subject: Eurasian Collard Doves

It has been brought to my attention that the state may be interested in listing the Eurasian Dove as an invasive species. By all means list them and do it quick.

I own 200 acres west of Maupin. When we bought the place 5 years back we had a very healthy population of morning doves in our barley fields and in the surrounding trees. 4 years ago I saw my first Eurasian Dove on the place. I was amazed at the size. This spring we have a lot fewer morning doves and a lot more Eurasian doves than ever before. Last evening I was out in a soon to be cut organic hay field and there were lots of them flying out and into the oaks to roost.

I've always enjoyed a dove shoot in Septmber. Last year I only took a few due to decreased numbers. I would imagine the new specie is partially to blame.

On another note, we have lots of bull frogs. I harvest a few each summer to eat. I would like the regulations to allow us to harvest with a pellet or BB gun. It would be a lot easier for me to wade knee deep into a pond with a "plinking" rifle during the evening, than with a long cane gig at night. Since they are invasive and should be removed, allowing us more options to harvest them would be wonderful.

Thank you for taking the time to listen to my concerns.

Andrew Lewis
Walters Rd.
Maupin OR.

Public Correspondence
Topic Waterfowl Regulations

RECEIVED

JUN 01 2012

WILDLIFE DIVISION

MR. BRAD BALES
WATERFOWL CO-ORDINATOR
3406 CHERRY AVE. N.E.
SALEM, OR. 97303

5-29-12

MR. BALES,

IT WOULD BE GREATLY APPRECIATED
IF OREGON WOULD CHANGE/AMEND CURRENT
WATERFOWL REGULATIONS TO ALLOW THE USE
OF BATTERY-POWERED DECOYS (I.E.: ROBO-
DUCKS, MOTO-DUCKS, ETC.)

MOST OTHER STATES ALLOW THE USE
OF CURRENT TECHNOLOGY TO INCREASE
AND ENHANCE THE HUNTING EXPERIENCE,
ESPECIALLY WHEN FIELD HUNTING WHERE
STANDARD DECOYS HAVE LIMITED SUCCESS.

THANK YOU IN ADVANCE FOR YOUR
CONSIDERATION.

BEST REGARDS,
Tim Brock

Tim Brock ODL 3314186
660 SUGAR AVE.
ONTARIO, OR. 97914

Roxann B Borisch

From: darren.r.lundy@cummins.com
Sent: Monday, June 11, 2012 12:21 PM
To: Bradley.D.Bales@state.or.us
Cc: sharpesacres@hotmail.com; Bruner, Bob; Catherine Latschaw; lilrobey@yahoo.com
Subject: 2012/2013 proposed waterfowl seasons for Harney County

Hello Brad,

I hope this email finds you doing well this Spring. I just wanted to send a note along to you to express our thanks again for making the adjustment to the proposed Goose Season in Harney County last fall. If you recall, the proposed dates originally had the season closing for Canada Geese on about January 15th. After some discussion and petitioning, you were able to allow it to run its normal course through the end of the month. As mentioned back last summer, the last two weeks of January are typically our two best weeks of the season, and this year was no exception. In fact, they were by all accounts exceptional compared to the rest of the season. Of course, as you know, the weather was untypically warm and dry up to that point last year and that didn't help. But still....9 out of 10 years....the migration rarely finds us in Harney until very late.

So, I know you mentioned this problem wasn't necessarily going to go away, as the Snow Goose Hunters want to have their say on how many days they have in the middle of the season to harvest Snow Geese down in the Klamath and Summer Lake areas. We understand that and can't blame them. To that end, I guess we are just wanting to put our bid in again for no changes to the late part of the season. I know there must be some reason that I don't fully understand as of yet, but perhaps they can have their season structured the way they need it, and ours can be left untouched? At the risk of having the waterfowl seasons starting to look like our Big Game and Fishing Seasons and all those complicated regulations, it sure would seem fair to everyone to not lump Harney County in with Lake and Klamath Counties....and vice versa....in terms of season dates, etc.

Thank you in advance for your consideration in this matter Brad. And if I am jumping the gun here a bit and this issue has already been resolved, then please accept my apologies for being a pain in the butt. I just hate to leave anything on the table and not cover the basis....not only for my crew, but for all those other guys that signed the petition last year in and around Harney County and from elsewhere.

Respectfully,

Darren Lundy

Darren Lundy
Consumer Products Sales Manager
Cummins Northwest, LLC
Portland, OR.
Office: 800-283-0336, Ext. 1407
Cell: 503-806-0270